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Abstract
This study was aimed at exploring what types of cross cultural learning activities used that contribute to EFL students’ awareness on apology function, and how the process occurred at an EFL tertiary level. The data analyzed in this study were collected in Satya Wacana Christian University and Sebelas Maret University. The study was a mixed method in nature using a questionnaire and interview adapted from previous studies. The quantitative data was obtained from six Indonesian EFL students using Discourse Completion Tasks Questionnaires (DCTQ), while the qualitative data obtained from individual interviews carried out with six EFL students. The results showed that there were group discussion and role playing used as cross cultural learning activities which contributed to EFL students’ awareness on apology functions. Another finding also indicated the use of apology strategies performing those two learning activities. It was unveiled that that EFL students employed numerous apology strategies that they were well aware of how to use adequate apology forms to meet the requirement of certain situations and relationships, and that they felt the need for explaining and avoiding interpretation of their responses as an apology.
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INTRODUCTION

There is a required course called Cross Cultural Understanding which is conducted to enhance students’ overall English proficiency by focusing on developing students’ writing, speaking, reading and listening skills (Zacharias, 2012). In English language teaching of English Department, Cross Cultural Understanding (CCU) course is a required course in a four-year pre-service program of an English Department in the Faculty of Language and Literature, in Indonesia. On the other hand, problems arise from the lack of cross-cultural awareness such as verbal communication, postures, mimics, another ways of behavior, and speech acts can cause miscommunication (Cakir, 2006, p.2).

In the pre-interview, the researcher has found informal findings that the participants are more aware of using the speech acts in the daily life communication. First case, a student who ever enrolled in student exchange when she was in her senior high school found that her classmates who comes from different nationalities did not know others cultures. Moreover, for saying sorry, she felt that their classmates did not really feel sorry for their mistakes for they did the same mistakes over again. For example, when they were joking and started to make stereotype by labeling their race. In this case, when she came back to Indonesia, she felt that implementing CCU based learning activity with speech act theory topic really helps to overcome similar problems she ever faced. Second case, a student who went abroad for vacation found that the degree of speech acts is different in each language and it needs adjustments and familiarization. For apology forms he found quite difficult for his to adjust within the beginning. He used to say “sorry” alot to people which is older than him. In fact, he knew that it is better to say “I do apologize”. Thus, he felt that as EFL learners, we do not only have to acquire grammatical theories but also pragmatic theories in this case apology forms.

By noticing in the pre-interview and the topic selection, the researcher assumes that there are some significances of selecting the topic. First, there is a theoretical consideration that there is none of concrete data of this research for there are only theories about enhancing cross cultural understanding based learning activities and the importance of implementing speech act
awareness (Lado, 1963, p. 110; Chastain, 1988). Moreover, for practical consideration the EFL students or learners’ acquisition in how people act to certain situations, the way people speak and behave are enhanced. At last, the researcher curiosity is even deeper because of the lack of studies focusing on speech act awareness where English is a foreign language.

Some relevant studies conducted in the foreign language settings which focused on CCU based learning activity were firstly Zaharias, 2012 entitled EFL Students’ Understanding of Their Multilingual English Identities and the findings were many of the participants negotiated their identities based on a core identity derived from their assumed first language culture. Then, Zayed, 2014 entitled Jordanian EFL teachers’ and students’ practice of speech acts in the classroom with the findings EFL teachers’ and students had no proper practice of any of the speech acts although the teachers practiced the speech acts of greeting, request, and thanking better than the speech acts of apology and compliment and the students practiced the speech act of greeting better than the other speech acts. At last, Qorina Dwi 2012 conducted a study on realization of apology strategies by English Department Students of Pekalongan University who investigate the development strategies from the lowest to the highest semester students, and to explain how the pragmatic transfer interferes the apology strategies used by English Department students of Pekalongan University. The findings reveal that an explicit expression of apology, in particular, the strategy of expressing regret, is the most frequent apology strategy.

Studies focusing on CCU based learning activity in EFL context are even rarer. Knowing that EFL contexts are merely varied with regard to the intensity of English learning (i.e. hours per week), the availability of teaching materials, the relative importance of English in the society, and the purpose of learning English (Block, 2007 as cited in Zacharias, 2012, p. 234). Thus, the need of a study focusing on enhancing speech act awareness.

**OBJECTIVES**

Cross cultural Understanding for Indonesian EFL students is taught in English department as pre-requisite course. In
general, Indonesian students have to pass certain courses to be able to take Cross Cultural Understanding course. Nonetheless, the teaching and learning situation in Indonesia focuses more on linguistic competence. As a result, in real-life situation, Indonesian students often fail to communicate effectively with same Indonesian students from vary ethnicities or even foreigners using English in certain situations. To avoid misunderstanding between the speaker and the hearer and to achieve communication goal, specifically when the speaker and the hearer are from different cultures and ethnicities or countries is by knowing the meaning behind words. In this case, politeness plays a main role to avoid communication offense. The fact that there are many kinds of politeness concepts across cultures in the world, studying apology functions and strategies through cross cultural learning activities will meet EFL students’ needs in raising their awareness. This study may highlight two kinds of CCU learning activities and how these two learning activities play as a big role in raising EFL students’ awareness on apology functions.

This study aims at explaining and providing evidence on to what extent CCU based learning activities contribute toward EFL students’ awareness on apology functions. The result of the study aims at providing EFL learners or researchers or teachers with information that help to improve both competence: linguistic and pragmatic. At last, the study contributes to pragmatic-teaching in EFL research.

LITERATURE REVIEW

a) CCU Based Learning Activity in ESL Context

Researchers conducted some studies on CCU based learning activities in ESL context with some findings as below:

1. Mizne thesis project (1997) showed the solution of facing problems of ESL students toward lack of sociolinguistic competence which comes from lack of cross-cultural understanding of ESL students and leads to misunderstandings between the speech participants and then can cause serious breakdowns in communication by creating a teaching module
(cross-cultural differences) which were proved to enhance ESL students’ cultural and pragmatic awareness.
2. Youssef’s research (2009) showed the students were better able to participate and express their ability and knowledge in the target language and had improved motivation by given cross-cultural activity ‘Sister School Project’ and the implementation of self-evaluation.
3. Fadeeva and Kalinin (2012) showed that ESL students ‘cross-cultural communication’ is understood as adequate mutual understanding of participants of the communication belonging to different national cultures.

b) CCU Based Learning Activities in EFL Context

Researchers conducted some studies on CCU based learning activities in EFL context with some findings as below:
1. Lee (2000) showed that teachers have to have an ability to mediate the local and target culture and in line with open-mindedness and sensitivity to cross-cultural differences, should be raised in EFL classrooms.
2. Cakir (2006) showed that firstly developing cultural awareness in the classroom is important for teachers help students distinguish between the cultural norms, beliefs, or habits of the majority within the speech community, secondly development of an awareness of sociocultural and sociolinguistic differences which might exist in the students’ first and foreign language prevent unintended pragmatic failure and breakdown in communication and the last studying English does not change students’ identity and students implement teachers’ theories to use English well.
3. Dai (2011) found that teachers should facilitate students’ learning materials by implementing cross-cultural based learning activity and connecting with students’ interests.

In the field of pragmatic, apology function attracted more attentions than other speech acts (Brown, 1987 as cited in Raed & Mohamad, 2016, p. 33). The following are the indicators for EFL students who are aware of apologies:
1. EFL learners are able to realize and differentiate the use of appropriate realization pattern;
2. EFL learners have to be able to and aware of the intensification devices such as “very”, “terribly”, and “really” (Eslami Rasekh & Mardani, 2010);
3. EFL learners are able to understand their positions. The recognition as apology makers toward the degree at the offence, the power of the addressee over the addressee, and the social distance (Brown & Levinson, 1987);
4. EFL learners are able to maintain good relations between others (the participants of the speech) (Holmes, 1990);
5. EFL learners have to be able to understand and know the difference of expression “sorry” and “I apologize” and intensifiers like “very” and “really” (Olshtain & Cohen (1983); Olshtain (1989);
6. EFL learners have to be able to interpret, give and respond in apologizing appropriately to build friendly relationships with native and non-native speakers especially when they encounter English speaking countries or working field (Wolfson, 1983).

Many researchers conducted studies to prove failure or success of awareness on speech acts functions from EFL students’ perspectives, some studies can be summarized as follows:
1. Zhao & Throssell (2011) found that Chinese EFL learners, even though possessing good mastery of English grammar and vocabulary, still fail to make use of appropriate speech in their communication with native speakers of English.
3. Zayed (2014) revealed that only one EFL teacher and one Jordanian EFL student in the first grade practiced the form ‘an expression of an apology’ particularly the word ‘sorry; once.
5. Kurdghelashvili (2015) Georgian EFL students’ were failed in term of their knowledge regarding politeness strategies in English communication for the speech acts functions only use by the teacher not the students in the classroom interactions.

Reviewing related studies related to cross cultural understanding-based learning activities from ESL and EFL context
and speech act awareness of EFL learners leads a gap from previous researches. On the other hands, there are alot of studies on cross cultural understanding-based learning activities viewed from sociolinguistic competence, while there are few of cross cultural understanding studies from pragmatic point of view, speech act awareness. Whereas the study of cross cultural understanding requires to be analyzed deeper from both perspectives in order to give a better understanding on this term and a factual implementation toward learners, EFL learners. Therefore, the novelty of this study gives an additional analysis toward cross cultural understanding-based learning activities from the perspective of speech act awareness by enhancing speech act awareness on apology of EFL learners. Not only reviews the implementation of cross cultural understanding-based learning activities in EFL context, this study also explains and describes to what extent CCU based learning activities contribute toward the EFL students’ awareness of speech acts and why the teaching of cross cultural understanding raises the speech act awareness of EFL students. It suggests teachers, lecturers, learners especially EFL learners to be more aware while performing speech acts functions through CCU based learning activities since it is implemented by some constraints.

RESEARCH METHOD

Six English Department students (who already passed CCU course) were chosen from private university in Salatiga and state university in Surakarta, Solo. To collect considerable information and get reliable data, the study analyzed one questionnaire (Discourse Completion Task Questionnaire) DCTQ and one interview with twelve participants. Before conducting actual study, the questionnaire and the interview were piloted and validated.

Discourse completion task questionnaire is regarded as an effective research instrument (Beebe & Cummings, 1996, p. 66 as cited in Raed and Mohammad, 2016, p. 34). In the present study, the DCTQ consisted of six parts: the first one contained about Cross Cultural Understanding, the second part contained about speech acts, the next part contained about speech acts awareness,
the fourth part was about Cross-Cultural Understanding and speech acts awareness, the fifth part was about two situations from apology functions and the last part contained about background information of the participants.

The interviews are conducted in the learners’ mother tongue (Bahasa Indonesia) and English, although most respondents preferred to use English. Each interview lasted between 15 and 20 minutes. The interviews are semi-structured (Merriam, 1998, P. 73). All the interviews are audio-taped and later transcribed for further analysis. These transcripts are then analyzed according to pre-determined similar categories found in the questionnaire namely CCU understanding, CCU based learning activities, types of speech acts, speech act awareness

**FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION**

**A. Group discussion for apology function as one of CCU learning activities viewed from communication strategies**

Apology performed by EFL students from CCU learning activity showed that group discussion as the highest choice from the questionnaire and the interview done with them. Group discussion has 45% of other CCU learning activities, role play has 35%, response journals has 10% and others like movie review, presentation and culture festival has 5%. Then, the result was presented in the following chart and explanations were provided accordingly.

![Chart 4.2 types of CCU learning activities that contribute EFL students' awareness](image)

Talking about group discussions, it can be developed in the language classroom for pragmatic development to be specific raising EFL students’ awareness on apology function. Kasper (1997) identified two types of activities. First, activities can focus
on raising students’ pragmatic awareness, or second, on tasks which provide opportunities for student to actually practice communication.

In this case, EFL students are provided with CCU learning activity in form of group discussion which raises their awareness on those two functions. As a leading discussion, the lecturer gave a picture which showed people were queuing in a movie theater and one person felt that his leg was stepped by another person as below:

![Picture 4.3 taken from An Introduction of Sociolinguistics page 278](image)

After that, they discussed together and were asked to make apology function in accordance with their own cultures seeing the fact that there were a lot of students from different ethnicities.

**Situation portrayed in the picture:**

There were people queuing for tickets of a movie titled MACHO MAN FROM DEEP RIVER. When these people queuing, a man accidentally stepped on other man foot. This man whose foot stepped said “I’m sorry to bother you and I hope I’m not too much trouble but could you move your foot a little either way because somehow mine seems to have got caught under yours.”

**Analysis on Apology**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sentences</th>
<th>Strategies used in apology speech act (by Oslhhtain &amp; Cohen)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>An intensified expression of apology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>An expression / acknowledgement of responsibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>An explanation or account of the situation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>An offer of repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a promise of non recurrencce</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. In this context, because the action accidentally happened without the hearer’s intention, there are no expressions or acknowledgement of responsibility, offer of repair and no promise of non-reoccurrence.

2. The expressions of apology used are:
   a. I’m sorry to bother you
   b. I hope I am not too much trouble
   
3. The explanation or account of the situation is:
   a. incomplete sentence:
   ...because somehow mine seems to have got caught under you.

   However, the researcher has one type of strategy used in analyzing one speech function: apology. The researcher applied Olshtain and Cohen (1983) apology speech act strategy. Therefore, group discussion which is used as leading activity to raise EFL students’ awareness on apology function would be elaborated from one point of view: apology strategy.

1. **Strategies Used in Apology Function**

   In this framework, there are five main strategies the researcher used for apology production including (1) expression of apology which itself contains three sub-strategies of expression regret, an offer of apology, and a request for forgiveness; (2) an explanation or account of the situation; (3) an acknowledgement of responsibility, which contains four sub-strategies of accepting blame, expressing self-deficiency, recognizing the other person as deserving apology, and expressing lack of intent; (4) an offer of repair; and (5) a promise of non-reoccurrence (Olshtain and Cohen, 1983 as cited in Tajeddin, Alemi & Razzaghi, 2014, p. 9).
**Situation 1:** Imagine you are travelling on a bus. You put your bag in the rack, but it fell down and hit another passenger.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Informant</th>
<th>Sentences</th>
<th>Strategies used in apology speech act (by Oslhtain and Cohen)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>An intensified expression of apology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TY</td>
<td>Oh, I’m so sorry! Are you okay? (because it is a spontaneous incident)</td>
<td>Oh, I’m so sorry! Are you okay?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WL</td>
<td>My bad, I am so sorry.</td>
<td>My bad, I am so sorry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH</td>
<td>I’m really sorry that just happened.</td>
<td>I’m really sorry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAU</td>
<td>I’m so sorry. Are you okay?</td>
<td>I’m so sorry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYN</td>
<td>I am really sorry, are you alright?</td>
<td>I am really sorry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAN</td>
<td>Woah... Sorry mam. I was going to put this bag in the rack. I didnt see that coming, please forgive me.</td>
<td>Woah... Sorry mam please forgive me</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ANALYSIS 1:**

1. In this context, because the action accidentally happened without the speaker’s intention, there’s no offer of repair and no promise of non-reoccurrence.
2. The expressions of apology used are:
   a. I’m so sorry
   b. I’m really sorry
   c. Sorry
   d. Please forgive me
3. The intensified expressions used are:
   a. Oh
   b. My bad
c. Woah

4. The expressions /acknowledgement of responsibility are
   a. Are you okay?
   b. Are you alright?

These two sentences are polite expression to show the addressee that the addressee feels guilty about his or her careless action and cares about the addressee’s condition.

5. The explanation or account of the situation is:
   a. Complete sentence:
      i. I was going to put this bag in the rack.
      ii. I didn’t see that coming
   b. Incomplete sentence:
      i. That just happened

**Situation 2:** Imagine you are the manager of a café. Today you have an interview with a student who wants to a job in the café. However you are half an hour late for the interview because of a meeting. The student is waiting for you in the café.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Informant</th>
<th>Sentences</th>
<th>Strategies used in apology speech act (by Oslhtain &amp; Cohen)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TY</td>
<td>I’m extremely sorry for making you wait quite a long time. Thank you for staying.</td>
<td>An intensified expression of apology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I’m extremely sorry for making you wait quite a long time. Thank you for staying.</td>
<td>An expression / acknowledgement of responsibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thank you for staying.</td>
<td>An explanation or account of the situation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>An offer of repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>a promise of non reoccurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WL</td>
<td>I am sorry for being late. My watch’s battery is broken and I have two meetings today. Can we start now?</td>
<td>I am sorry for being late</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>My watch’s battery is broken and I have two meetings today.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Can we start now?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH</td>
<td>I do apologize for the inconvenien t. I had a meeting earlier that’s why I come</td>
<td>I do apologize for the inconvenien t.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>that’s why I come late.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I had a meeting earlier</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TY: I’m extremely sorry for making you wait quite a long time. Thank you for staying.

WL: I am sorry for being late. My watch’s battery is broken and I have two meetings today. Can we start now?

MCH: I do apologize for the inconvenience. I had a meeting earlier that’s why I came late.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>late.</th>
<th>I’m sorry I am late. Can we start now?</th>
<th>I am late.</th>
<th>Can we start now?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LAU</td>
<td>I’m sorry I am late. Can we start now?</td>
<td>I’m sorry</td>
<td>I am late.</td>
<td>Can we start now?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYN</td>
<td>I’m so sorry I am late, I had to attend a meeting.</td>
<td>I’m so sorry I am late, I had to attend a meeting.</td>
<td>I had to attend a meeting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAN</td>
<td>I’m so sorry I was caught up in the traffic that’s why I really late. So let’s start.</td>
<td>I’m sorry that’s why I really late. I was caught up in the traffic</td>
<td>So let’s start.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ANALYSIS 2:**

1. In this context, the addressers violate the punctuality in making an appointment with his or her candidate of worker. From the corpus gathered, while dealing in such context, some of the informants tried to defend themselves by explaining the situation. Some of them preferred not give any explanation.

2. All informants do not give a promise of non reoccurrence.

3. The expressions of apology used are:
   - i. Sorry
   - ii. I do apologize

4. The expression / acknowledgement of responsibility are in the form of:
   - i. incomplete sentence:
     - a. for making you wait quite a long time
     - b. for being late
     - c. that’s why I come late
     - d. that’s why I really late
   - ii. complete sentence:
     - a. I am late

5. The explanation or account of the situation in the form of complete sentence:
   - a. Thank you for staying.
   - b. My watch’s battery is broken and I have two meetings today.
   - c. I had a meeting earlier
d. I was caught up in the traffic
6. Three informants gave an offer of repair in the form of complete sentence:
   a. Can we start now?
   b. Let’s start now.

B. Group discussions in teaching materials preparation: as topic-oriented activity

In preparing teaching materials, a lecturer must present an issue which attracts students’ interests. This issue or related topic which is familiar with their background knowledge will lead them to positively engage and participate in the classroom. In this case, topics or issues in the sense of students’ cultural norms like food, dances, custom, and songs from many ethnicities. Then, the lecturer’s duty is to bring and adjust these students to the target culture. In dealing with the topics, EFL students prefer to do group discussion to discuss the cultural border between their own and the world of the target language in relation with speech acts function. Considering there are two knowledge that are required to be acquired by EFL students: linguistic and pragmatic knowledge, Watts’ (2010), Alemi & Tajeddin (2013), Jalilifar (2009) findings showed that EFL learners did not have sufficient pragmatic knowledge. The most common function EFL students use in daily life is apology which they lack of knowledge. Thus, group discussion: sharing ideas, experience with classmates will lead EFL students to understand the practices of apology function (Lave&Wenger, 1991). The following will be the elaboration of two types of group discussion which enhance EFL learners’ awareness of apology function.

First, politeness for apology became one topic for discussion. Knowing that TY, WL live in Indonesia, they seldom use apology pattern to NS or friends who speak English. They only speak or express the word sorry when they do something wrong whether he or she is older or younger than them for apology function. The recognition of social power gained when TY and WL discussed with their friends who live abroad or the NS lecturer who teach them.
I feel that I found it was quite difficult for me to adjust with it in the beginning because I used to say sorry a lot especially to people with higher social power (professor or teacher) and here, a single “I do apologize” is enough. Because unlike in Indonesia, everything here is straight to the point (TY, 24/03/2017).

Group discussion with classmates who came from many countries like American, Italian, and Germany about apology function in term of politeness made TY more aware of addressing apology expression toward young, same age or even older people. Similar to TY, WL gained more understanding how to address apology function by doing discussion with the natives when she encountered CCU course.

Natives whom I speak to taught me about the difference use of I am sorry, I do apologize, I feel sorry about... for apology (WL, 28/08/2017).

WL regarded that by having discussion with the Natives as an effective way to raise EFL students’ awareness on apology function. The natives face the real world and performing those two functions in their daily life. Thus, by having discussions with the natives teach WL how to express apology function and address it properly. Moreover, being linguistically polite involve speaking to people appropriately in the light of relationship between speaker and hearer. Making decisions about what is or is not considered polite in any community of practice therefore involves assessing social relationships along the dimensions of social distance or solidarity, and relative power or status (Laver, 1981, p. 285). Thus, gaining linguistic and pragmatic competence is required to understand and practice apology function well.

Second, culture festival as a form of cultural activity enhanced EFL learners’ awareness on apology. Culture festival is one type of visible culture that is apparent to anyone and can be discussed and explained relatively easily (Hinkel, 2018, p. 5). Similarly, culture festival was chosen as one favorite activity which provided insights from EFL students to be a cultural sensitive person. When culture festival is held, EFL students had to pick one ethnicity from Indonesia or other countries and dress up like them. What is more attractive, they had to walk around the campus field
and asked or discussed or even responded their classmates who wore the other costumes and prepared some situations of speech act functions. Likewise, LAU who loved culture festival as a leading discussion to raise her awareness toward apology function.

*I would prefer to choose an activity which engage students’ creativity and team-working like culture festival. We dressed up like people from different countries but we weren’t allowed to dress up like Javanese people. Then, we did something interesting. We made conversation with certain situation and we listened to each other stories of our own costumes. (LAU, 25/10/2017).

The same idea came up from NAN about culture festival. He concluded that by participating in culture festival, he had no choice to work and gather with his classmates instead of doing individual working. Therefore, he gained a lot of experience, knew more about the use of speech act function and different cultural background of his classmates and realized that culture in the world is varied.

C. Role Playing in Teaching Materials Preparation: As Authentic Materials Selection

The second choice of CCU learning activities that contribute EFL students’ awareness on apology is role playing. A lecturer should consider engaging activities instead of delivering the materials that keep the students in passive state. Therefore, role play, as an active teaching strategy, creates positive elements of enjoying learning process and gaining knowledge, when implemented successfully and selecting authentic materials (Erturk, 2015; McSharry&Jones, 2000). In the same way, role play can enhance students’ interests in learning the materials. The same idea came from AR who chose role play as the attractive CCU learning activity which is engaging and fun.

*Role playing a certain culture is another fun activity because everyone in the class love performances, so it’s an engaging yet fun activity for the students to grasp the issue especially when we talk about speech act functions like saying thanks, making apology (AR, 11/10/2017).

She felt that role play is a good way to grab students’ attention to focus on speech act functions. By doing role play, EFL students directly practice the dialogue from script-reading and there
will be communication between the speaker and hearer. In the same time, they learn to express apology function and respond it as well. Thus, she thought that role play is the most effective way to enhance her skills and raise awareness on apology function.

Then, role play raises the link between the learning situation and the real world (Thiagarajan 2003 as cited in Greco 2009 p. 163). Similarly, role playing is viewed and has proven to be effective way to bridge students to their real world. Meaning that, when they do role playing they practice what they face in the real life. Moreover, RI has the same idea about role playing when she could share her understanding about other cultures with her classmates.

By doing a role play, we not only understand cultures from other countries but also put it into practice to share the knowledge to others. Role playing about Javanese vs Korean: apology. Javanese prefer saying a lot sorry everytime they make mistakes. Besides, Korean only remark for big mistakes then they say sorry. (RI, 28/08/2017).

Role playing on apology function also promote interaction among players: those who are in the dialogue (Van Ments 1989 as cited in Greco 2009 p. 163). When RI did role playing about Javanese vs Korean, she did interaction with her partner talking about what Javanese and Korean custom of asking for apology. Therefore, engagement among students who came from many ethnicities was increased through role playing (Clark & Choi, 2005, Wishart et.al., 2007 as cited in Greco 2009 p. 163).

**To what extent do CCU learning activities contribute toward EFL students’ awareness on apology?**

Based on the analysis above, CCU learning activities which contributed toward EFL students’ awareness on apology were group discussion and role playing. To begin with, the students found group discussion as learning strategy to make them aware of expressing apology function. By doing group discussion, EFL students gain more knowledge about others’ cultures and in the same time they maintain good relations between others (Holmes, 1990). In addition, doing group discussion made EFL students recognize their position when they talk to each other: the social distance (the relationship between the speaker and the hearer),
social power (the rank between the addressee and the addressor) and imposition (description of the situation) (Brown & Levinson, 1987; Searle, 1975). Likewise, EFL students should be aware of behavior, intonation pattern, choice of expression used which are appropriate in their own speech community with different cultural background (Peterson & Coltrane, 2003; Flood, 2003). Hence, the success of making communication with both native or non-native speakers is acquiring two kinds of competence: linguistic and pragmatic (Cortazzi & Jin, 2008; Goh & Kwah, 1997; Harvey, 1985; Rao, 2002; Leung, 2005).

For strategies used in apology, EFL students were able to differentiate the expressions of “sorry”, “please forgive me” and intensifiers like “very” and “really” (Olshtain & Cohen (1983); Olshtain (1989); Eslami Rasekh & Mardani, 2010)). The findings on strategies used in apology showed that EFL students used an expression of apology like “sorry” with intensifiers like “so”, “really”, “please forgive me”, and “I do apologize.” Also, they stated an expression of responsibility like “are you okay?” or “are you alright?” (in complete sentence) and “....for making you wait quite long time”, “....for being late”, “....that’s why I come late”, “...that’s why I really late (in incomplete sentence). Moreover, EFL students expressed an explanation on the situation by saying “I was going to put this bag in the rack”, “I didn’t see that coming”, “thank you for staying.”, “my watch battery is broken and I have two meetings today”, “I had a meeting earlier”, “I was caught up the traffic” (in complete sentence) and “...that just happenned” (in incomplete sentence). At last, some EFL students stated an offer of repair by saying “can we start now?” and “let’s start now”. However, none of students used a promise of non reoccurrence. Thus, group discussion as one of cross cultural learning activity contributed toward EFL students’ awareness on apology function in form of a leading activity which foster and enhance students’ awareness by doing discussions among students with varies cultures and ethnicities in certain situations using certain speech acts in this case apology and its strategies.

In the second, group discussion as topic-oriented activity was resulted in two findings. First, EFL students were discussed more on politeness for apology. They became more aware of
addressing those two functions whether to same age, younger or older people. Besides, TY gained more knowledge about politeness when she met her classmates which came from different countries where English is as Foreign language. Similarly, discussion with the natives which WL did strengthen the way she communicates and address toward people she is going to talk. Then, discussion on culture festival created not only recognition of cultural and ethnicity varieties but also awareness of communicating through different situation using different speech functions.

CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATION

Last of all, role playing as authentic material selection regarded to be second way of enhancing EFL students’ awareness on apology. For instance, AR and RI found that role playing was able to attract students’ attention by creating the script of the situation, practising the script-reading and the existence of communication between the speaker and hearer of certain situations with apology function.

Language and culture are inseparable meaning that they cannot be separated and relate one to another. Thus, when teaching a foreign language cannot be separated from teaching its cultural and social norms. When it comes to EFL students’ awareness on apology and request functions through Cross Cultural learning activities, it is a must for the lecturer to find the most attractive activities which engage, enhance, raise EFL students’ interest and awareness. Hopefully, the findings of this study will help EFL lecturers to give more attention to students’ pragmatic competence, raise their awareness on social cultural norms of speech acts realization in this case apology and request functions concerning politeness values are just as essential as acquiring linguistic competence.
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